

1 Gerald Singleton (SBN 208783)  
2 J. Ross Peabody (SBN 98190)  
3 Singleton Law Firm APC,  
4 857 E. Main Street,  
5 Ventura, California 93001  
6 Tel: (619) 771-3473  
7 Fax: (619) 255-1515  
8 Gerald@SLFfirm.com  
9 Ross @SLFfirm.com

Rusty Hardin  
*Pro Hac Vice Pending*  
TX State Bar No. 08972800  
Ryan Higgins  
*Pro Hac Vice Pending*  
TX State Bar No. 24007362  
Daniel Dutko  
*Pro Hac Vice Pending*  
TX Bar No. 24054206  
Rusty Hardin & Associates, LLP  
1401 McKinney Street, Suite 2250  
Houston, Texas 77010  
Telephone: (713) 652-9000  
Facsimile: (713) 652-9800  
rhardin@rustyhardin.com  
rhiggins@rustyhardin.com  
ddutko@rustyhardin.com

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
14 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15  
16 SUSAN DORETY INDIVIDUALLY §  
17 AND ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE §  
18 OF MICHAEL DORETY §

18 Plaintiff

§ Case No. 2:20-cv-02458

19 v.

§ **COMPLAINT**

20  
21 PRINCESS CRUISE LINES LTD., §

§ **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

22 Defendant. §  
23 §

24  
25 **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION**

26 COMES NOW, Plaintiff/Intervenor Susan Dorety Individually and on behalf  
27 of the Estate of Michael Dorety, and files her Original Complaint in Intervention  
28

1 against Defendant, Princess Cruise Lines LTD (hereinafter, "Princess"), and would  
2 respectfully show the Court as follows:  
3

4 **I. PARTIES**

5 1. Plaintiff/Intervenor Susan Dorety Individually and on behalf of the  
6 Estate of Michael Dorety is a resident of Tarrant County, Texas and was a passenger  
7 onboard the Grand Princess.  
8

9 2. Princess Cruise Lines Ltd. is incorporated in Bermuda, with its  
10 headquarters in Santa Clarita, California. The action is being filed in this Court  
11 pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Passenger Contract issued by Defendant,  
12 Princess Cruise Lines, Ltd. Princess Cruise Lines Ltd. may be served via its  
13 registered agent, CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930, Los  
14 Angeles, California 90017.  
15  
16  
17

18 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

19 3. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 for the  
20 reason that there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff/Intervenor  
21 and Princess, and Plaintiff/Intervenor seeks damages in excess of \$1,000,000.00  
22 (One Million Dollars) exclusive of interest, costs, and attorney's fees, which greatly  
23 exceeds the minimum amount in controversy required by § 1332.  
24  
25

26 4. This Court also has Admiralty subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to  
27 28 U.S.C. § 1333 as this case involves a maritime tort. The type of incident and  
28

1 injuries suffered by Plaintiff/Intervenor had the potential to impact maritime  
2 commerce as Plaintiff/Intervenor was at serious risk of imminent harm as a result of  
3 contracting the Coronavirus which was allowed to run rampant aboard the cruise  
4 ship upon which Susan Dorety and Michael Dorety were paying passengers.  
5

6  
7 5. The Court has general personal jurisdiction over Princess as Princess’  
8 principal place of business is in Los Angeles County, California as such Princess is  
9 “at home” in California for purposes of any exercise of personal jurisdiction. In  
10 addition, Princess conducts substantial business within the state of California,  
11 including operating cruises from ports in San Francisco, San Diego, and Los Angeles.  
12 Princess markets cruise vacations to California residents and employs thousands of  
13 California residents to work at its California headquarters. It was foreseeable at all  
14 times that Princess could be hauled into court in the State of California for conduct  
15 that caused injuries; in fact, Princess’ Passenger Contract requires claimants like  
16 Plaintiff/Intervenor in this action, to bring suit to vindicate personal injury claims in  
17 the United States District Court for the Central District of California. At all times  
18 hereto, Princess owned and operated the cruise ship the Grand Princess.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 6. Susan Dorety and Michael Dorety were passengers aboard the Grand  
25 Princess which departed out of San Francisco on February 21, 2020 and was  
26 anchored off the coast of San Francisco from March 4-9, 2020, as a result of an  
27 outbreak of COVID-19. The exercise of personal jurisdiction over Princess by this  
28

1 Court comports with due process and is consistent with traditional notions of fair  
2 play and substantial justice.  
3

4 **III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

5 7. In the months preceding the filing of this Complaint, there was a  
6 worldwide outbreak of a new strain of the Coronavirus. This new strain is commonly  
7 known as COVID-19. The virus began in China in December 2019, and quickly  
8 spread throughout Asia and Europe. Most recently, it spread throughout North  
9 America. The virus causes fatigue, fever, loss of appetite, loss of smell, loss of taste,  
10 and a dry cough. Coronavirus can be fatal, especially in people over sixty years of  
11 age. As of the date of this filing, there are over 1,900,000 cases worldwide and over  
12 110,000 deaths as result of COVID-19. The fatalities are largely amongst the elderly  
13 population and others with underlying medical complications.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 8. COVID-19 gained increased public attention when the Diamond  
19 Princess cruise ship (also owned and operated by Defendant) suffered an outbreak  
20 of the disease in early February 2020 in Yokohama, Japan. The outbreak began with  
21 ten cases and rapidly multiplied to 700 cases, as a result of the flawed two-week  
22 quarantine on the ship. The Center for Disease Control issued a statement on  
23 February 18, 2020, that said “the rate of new reports of positives new on board [the  
24 Diamond Princess], especially among those without symptoms, highlights the high  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 burden of infection on the ship and potential for ongoing risk.” Seven of Defendant’s  
2 passengers died as a result of COVID-19 on the Diamond Princess cruise ship.  
3

4 9. When the Princess’ Grand Princess cruise ship left San Francisco on  
5 February 21, 2020, it was carrying 2,421 passengers and 1,113 crew members. It  
6 would only stand to reason, having experienced such a traumatic outbreak on board  
7 one of its vessels less than a month prior to the February 21, 2020 voyage that  
8 Princess would have learned to take all necessary precautions to keep its passengers,  
9 crew, and the general public safe. Unfortunately, Princess did nothing to ensure the  
10 safety of its passengers, including Susan Dorety and Michael Dorety.  
11  
12

13  
14 **A. Susan and Michael Dorety**

15 10. Susan and Michael Dorety Grew up in Irving, Texas. Susan met  
16 Michael when she was working at a bank and he was a firefighter. They fell in love,  
17 married, and raised 2 children. Michael retired after 39 years as a firefighter. For  
18 their 40th wedding anniversary, they decided to take the February 21, 2020 Princess’  
19 Grand Princess cruise out of San Francisco, California.  
20  
21

22 11. As Susan and Michael Dorety boarded the Grand Princess cruise ship,  
23 they did not know that Princess had knowledge that at least two of its passengers  
24 from the prior voyage who disembarked the Grand Princess on February 21, 2020  
25 had symptoms of coronavirus. Susan and Michael Dorety were also unaware that  
26 there were 62 passengers on board the February 21, 2020 cruise who remained on  
27  
28

1 board after the conclusion of the prior voyage. Those 62 carry-over passengers were  
2 exposed to the passengers that were infected with COVID-19.  
3

4 12. After several days on the cruise, Princess removed the buffets, removed  
5 the salt and pepper shakers, and required its employees to wear gloves. Princess  
6 continued to hold shows and community events. Eventually Princess cancelled the  
7 shows but continued with community events such as bingo. Finally, after almost two  
8 weeks, Princess quarantined the guests to their rooms.  
9  
10

11 13. After the Grand Princess cruise ship docked in Oakland, Michael  
12 Dorety developed symptoms of COVID-19. Susan Dorety called the emergency  
13 number of the Grand Princess cruise ship, as she was told to do if anyone developed  
14 symptoms of Coronavirus. The Princess representative told Susan Dorety they  
15 would make a report and get back to her. They never did. Susan Dorety called  
16 Princess' emergency number three times that day and her husband received no  
17 medical attention.  
18  
19  
20

21 14. The next day, Michael Dorety could not get out of bed. Susan Dorety  
22 kept calling Princess' emergency number and received no help. At one point when  
23 Michael Dorety was shivering under several blankets and sweating profusely,  
24 Princess asked how Susan Dorety knew her husband actually had a fever.  
25

26 15. Eventually, Susan Dorety convinced Princess to send the doctor. He  
27 showed up, confirmed that Michael Dorety had a fever, gave Susan Dorety some  
28

1 Tamiflu and Tylenol for her husband, and left. At this point, Michael Dorety was  
2 nonresponsive.  
3

4 15. As Michael Dorety's condition worsened, Susan Dorety became more  
5 and more upset. Eventually, she convinced Princess to let them off the ship so they  
6 could see the medical personnel the CDC had on the dock. When she finally got  
7 Michael Dorety off the ship, almost three days after his symptoms developed, the  
8 CDC looked at him alarmed and asked why she did not bring him sooner. She  
9 explained that she spent the last two days trying to get Princess to let him off the  
10 ship.  
11  
12

13 16. Michael Dorety was immediately taken to a hospital where he spent  
14 days suffering in agony. Michael Dorety tested positive for COVID-19 and struggled  
15 to stay alive. When Michael Dorety died, he was all alone. The doctor called Susan  
16 Dorety to tell her that her husband was dying. Susan Dorety and her children listened  
17 as the doctor counted down Michael Dorety's heartbeats until he was gone. Michael  
18 Dorety died alone.  
19  
20  
21

22 **IV. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

23 Negligence  
24

25 17. Plaintiff/Intervenor re-alleges all allegations in paragraphs 7 through 16  
26 above as if alleged fully herein.  
27  
28

1           18. Princess owed Susan and Michael Dorety, who were paying passengers  
2 who boarded the Grand Princess on February 21, 2020, the duty to ensure that they  
3 would not be exposed to unreasonable risk of harm that Princess knew or should  
4 have known about while sailing on its vessel.  
5

6           19. Princess breached that duty. It had knowledge that at least two of its  
7 passengers from the prior voyage who disembarked the Grand Princess early on  
8 February 21, 2020 had symptoms of Coronavirus. Despite that, Princess made the  
9 conscious decision to continue sailing the next voyage of the Grand Princess, which  
10 began later on February 21, 2020 with another 3,534 passengers and crew on an  
11 infected ship.  
12  
13  
14

15           20. Princess was aware of at least two passengers who disembarked its ship  
16 on February 21, 2020 in San Francisco, had symptoms of the Coronavirus. It went  
17 as far as to send emails on Wednesday, February 25, 2020 to passengers who  
18 disembarked the Grand Princess on February 21, 2020 notifying them of the  
19 potential of exposure to the coronavirus while onboard their cruise.  
20  
21

22           21. To make matters even worse, there were 62 passengers on board the  
23 cruise, who remained on board after the conclusion of the prior voyage. Those 62  
24 carry-over passengers were exposed to the passengers that were confirmed to be  
25 infected; some of the infected passengers to whom the carry-over passengers were  
26 exposed later died from coronavirus.  
27  
28



1           22. In continuing to sail with another 3,534 passengers and crew (including  
2 Susan and Michael Dorety), on the cruise that began on February 21, 2020, knowing  
3 that some of those passengers and crew had already been exposed to COVID-19,  
4 Princess exposed Susan and Michael Dorety to actual risk of immediate physical  
5 injury.  
6  
7

8           23. Princess is further negligent in failing to have proper screening  
9 protocols for COVID-19 before boarding the passengers on the voyage. Despite the  
10 knowledge and experience it had with the outbreak of the disease on the Diamond  
11 Princess just a mere three weeks prior to the February 21, 2020 cruise, Princess did  
12 not have proper screening protocol in place to minimize the risk of exposure of the  
13 disease to its passengers and crew.  
14  
15

16           24. Prior to boarding the February 21, 2020 sailing on the Grand Princess,  
17 passengers were simply asked to fill out a piece of paper confirming they were not  
18 sick. No passenger was questioned or examined in any capacity. Incredibly, not one  
19 of those 62 passengers or crew members who were mixing and mingling with the  
20 infected prior passengers were ever examined during the instant voyage until being  
21 tested for the virus on Thursday, March 5, 2020, two weeks after the ship sailed.  
22  
23

24           25. Princess is further negligent in failing to adequately warn Susan and  
25 Michael Dorety about the potential exposure to COVID-19 prior to boarding the ship  
26 on February 21, 2020, and again during the sailing of said cruise. Defendant had  
27  
28

1 actual knowledge of at least two passengers who sailed on its ship the week prior,  
2 disembarked with symptoms of coronavirus, and one confirmed death as a result.  
3  
4 Princess also knew that there were 62 passengers and crew who were onboard that  
5 same cruise, who later boarded the Grand Princess with Susan and Michael Dorety,  
6  
7 and failed to inform Susan and Michael Dorety at any time prior to boarding or while  
8 they were already onboard, that there was an actual risk of exposure to COVID-19.

9  
10 26. Princess failed to inform Susan and Michael Dorety that a crew member  
11 aboard their cruise actually disembarked in Hawaii as a result of COVID-19. If  
12 Susan and Michael Dorety had knowledge of this actual risk of exposure prior to  
13 boarding, they would have never boarded the ship. If they were informed of the risk  
14 on February 25, 2020, when the former passengers were notified by email, Susan  
15 and Michael Dorety would have disembarked at the first port of call in Honolulu  
16 on February 26, 2020. Due to Princess' outright negligence in failing to warn  
17 Susan and Michael Dorety of the actual risk of exposure to COVID-19 aboard its  
18 infected ship, Susan and Michael Dorety were quarantined in their cabin along with  
19 the rest of the passengers and crew, off the coast of San Francisco, anxiously  
20 awaiting their fate, for over six days.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1           31. Princess' conduct in failing to warn Susan and Michael Dorety of their  
2 actual risk of harm in being exposed to COVID-19, either prior to boarding or while  
3 they were already on board, in light of the prior passenger who came down with  
4 symptoms and later died, along with others who came down with symptoms from  
5 that prior voyage, and the crew member who disembarked during this voyage due to  
6 COVID-19, amounts to an extreme departure of a what a reasonably careful cruise  
7 line would do, in light of that fact that Susan and Michael Dorety are in the age group  
8 most susceptible to death from COVID-19.  
9

10  
11  
12           32. Princess chose to place profits over the safety of its passengers, crew,  
13 and the general public in continuing to operate business as usual, despite their  
14 knowledge of the actual risk of injury to Susan and Michael Dorety, who are in  
15 the age group most susceptible to death from COVID-19  
16

17  
18       **V. INJURIES AND DAMAGES**

19           33. Susan Dorety is a wrongful death beneficiary and seeks all available  
20 damages recoverable by law resulting from the death of Michael Dorety. Susan  
21 Dorety sustained, and continues to sustain, damages, among other things, for loss of  
22 society, companionship, pecuniary loss, loss of inheritance, loss of consortium, and  
23 mental anguish as a result of the death of Michael Dorety.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           34. Susan Dorety seeks damages for Michael Dorety’s conscious pain and  
2 suffering and pain and mental anguish from the time of the occurrence to his death  
3 as a result of the facts alleged herein.  
4

5           35. Susan Dorety seeks all damages under the Survival Act for the Estate  
6 of Michael Dorety for the reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses of  
7 Michael Dorety’s last medical care before he was pronounced dead, and for the costs  
8 associated with his funeral and burial.  
9  
10

11           36. Susan Dorety seeks all damages associated with her pain and suffering  
12 and pain and mental anguish in contracting COVID-19 while onboard the Princess  
13 cruise.  
14

15           37. Plaintiff/Intervenor is seeking damages in an amount greater than one  
16 million dollars (\$1,000,000).  
17

18 **VI. JURY DEMAND**

19           38. Plaintiff/Intervenor formally make this demand and application for a  
20 jury trial in this lawsuit.  
21

22 **VII. PRAYER**

23           WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff/Intervenor prays  
24 Princess be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon final trial,  
25 Plaintiff/Intervenor recover of Princess both actual and exemplary damages, as set  
26 forth above, and costs of court, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and  
27  
28

1 expenses, and such other and further relief to which Plaintiff/Intervenor may show  
2 herself justly entitled.  
3

4 Dated: April 14, 2020

SINGLETON LAW FIRM, APC

5 By: /s/Gerald Singleton  
6 Gerald Singleton

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Intervenor  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28