

YES NO

EXHIBITS

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

CASE TYPE: \_\_\_\_\_

PAGE COUNT: \_\_\_\_\_

CASE NOTE

---

---

---

**12-Person Jury**

Return Date: No return date scheduled  
Hearing Date: 11/30/2020 10:00 AM - 10:00 AM  
Courtroom Number: 2403  
Location: District 1 Court  
Cook County, IL

FILED  
7/29/2020 2:13 PM  
DOROTHY BROWN  
CIRCUIT CLERK  
COOK COUNTY, IL  
2020CH05165

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION**

HOLLY R. RIDINGS, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 AMERICAN FAMILY INSURANCE COMPANY )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

9929378  
Case Number: 2020CH05165  
**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Holly R. Ridings, by and through undersigned counsel and on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated, hereby submits this Class Action Complaint against defendant American Family Insurance Company, and in support thereof, states as follows:

**Nature of the Action**

1. This action seeks classwide relief for defendant American Family Insurance Company's failure to provide fair and appropriate insurance premium relief to its Illinois auto policyholders in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. This is a proposed class action brought on behalf of all Illinois residents who were auto insurance policyholders of defendant American Family Insurance Company as of March 1, 2020, and who have thereafter continued to be American Family auto policyholders.

FILED DATE: 7/29/2020 2:13 PM 2020CH05165

### **The Parties**

3. Plaintiff, Holly R. Ridings, is a resident of the State of Illinois, and current auto insurance policyholder of defendant American Family General Insurance Company.

4. Defendant American Family Insurance Company ("American Family") is a Wisconsin corporation with offices at 6000 American Parkway, Madison, WI 53783. It is engaged in the business of insurance, and regularly sells automobile insurance in Illinois.

### **Factual Background Applicable to the Proposed Class**

5. American Family is a prolific underwriter of automobile insurance in Illinois.

6. On information and belief, and throughout the entirety of the COVID-19 pandemic, American Family has enjoyed a substantial share of the auto insurance market in Illinois. At all times relevant to this case, American Family has derived substantial revenues and profits from the sale of auto insurance in Illinois.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the parties' controversy under Article VI, Section 9 of the Illinois Constitution.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over American Family because American Family's contacts with Illinois are so substantial and of such a nature as to render American Family at home in Illinois.

9. Venue is proper in Cook County pursuant to ILCS 5/2-101 and 5/2-102 because American Family is a resident of Cook County.

**The COVID-19 Pandemic and Its Relationship  
to Auto Insurance Premiums**

10. COVID-19, short for "coronavirus disease 2019," is a novel, virus-borne, and potentially deadly respiratory illness. Since its emergence in late 2019, it has spread rapidly across the globe, reaching pandemic levels. On January 20, 2020, it was declared a "public health emergency of international concern" by the World Health Organization. A week later, the U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services declared the virus a public health emergency in the United States.

11. COVID-19 is highly contagious and appears capable of spreading exponentially through transmission by persons who are symptomatic, asymptomatic, or pre-symptomatic.

12. As of the date of this complaint, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has recorded nearly 3.5 million cases of COVID-19, and over 135,000 COVID-related deaths, in the U.S. alone. Illinois, meanwhile, has thus far suffered over 7,000 COVID-related deaths.<sup>1</sup>

13. On March 9, 2020, Illinois Governor J.B. Pritzker issued the first of several Gubernatorial Disaster Proclamations with respect to the COVID-19 crisis. In that proclamation, Gov. Pritzker stated his finding, pursuant to Section 7 of the Illinois Emergency Management Agency Act, 20 ILCS 3305/7, that a disaster exists within

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://coronavirus.illinois.gov/s/> (last visited July 16, 2020).

the State of Illinois, and that "all counties in the State of Illinois" constitute "a disaster area."<sup>2</sup>

14. On March 13, 2020, Gov. Pritzker issued his COVID-related Executive Orders 2020-04 and 2020-05, ordering the cancellation of "all public and private gatherings in the State of Illinois of 1,000 or more people," and (effective March 17, 2020) closing "all public and private schools in Illinois serving pre-kindergarten through 12th grade students[.]"<sup>3</sup>

15. On March 16, 2020, Gov. Pritzker issued his COVID-related Executive Order 2020-07, ordering "all businesses in the State of Illinois that offer food or beverages for on-premises consumption — including restaurants, bars, grocery stores, and food halls" to "suspend service for and . . . not permit on-premises consumption."<sup>4</sup>

16. On March 20, 2020, Gov. Pritzker issued his COVID-related Executive Order 2020-10, requiring (with specified exceptions) "all individuals currently living within the State of Illinois" to "stay at home or at their place of residence," and ordering "all nonessential businesses and operations in Illinois to cease."<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www2.illinois.gov/sites/gov/Documents/CoronavirusDisasterProc-3-12-2020.pdf> (last visited July 16, 2020).

<sup>3</sup> <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-04.aspx>; <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-05.aspx> (last visited July 16, 2020).

<sup>4</sup> <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-07.aspx> (last visited July 16, 2020).

<sup>5</sup> <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-10.aspx#:~:text=Stay%20at%20home%20or%20place,allowed%20in%20this%20Executive%20Order> (last visited July 16, 2020).

17. The practical effect of the COVID-19 crisis and the State of Illinois' response thereto has been to dramatically empty Illinois' roads of vehicle traffic. Simply stated, with nonessential businesses closed, with schools closed, and with people forced by the exigencies of a public health crisis to shelter in place, Illinois residents are driving less frequently and less far.

18. According to data collected by the Consumer Federation of America ("CFA"), this COVID-driven reduction in "vehicle miles" traveled by Illinois residents has been quite pronounced throughout Illinois.<sup>6</sup> For example, the CFA reports that vehicle miles traveled in Illinois dropped by:

- 42% during the seven-day period from March 15, 2020 to March 21, 2020;
- 67% during the seven-day period from March 22, 2020 to March 28, 2020;
- 67% during the seven-day period from March 29, 2020 to April 4, 2020;
- 67% during the seven-day period from April 5, 2020 to April 11, 2020;
- 68% during the seven-day period from April 12, 2020 to April 18, 2020;
- and
- 64% during the seven-day period from April 19, 2020 to April 25, 2020.

19. When fewer people drive fewer miles, fewer motor vehicle accidents — and, therefore, fewer auto insurance claims — are the result. The COVID-19 pandemic

---

<sup>6</sup> The Consumer Federation of America is a nonprofit association of over 250 consumer groups. Part of the Center for Economic Justice, the CFA works to advance the interests of consumers through research, advocacy, and education.

has thus led to a dramatic reduction in Illinois auto insurance claims. This profound drop in auto insurance claims (as compared to pre-COVID levels) will almost certainly continue for the foreseeable future, and for as long as the COVID crisis continues.

20. In particular, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to a dramatic reduction in the number of Illinois auto insurance claims that have been submitted and will be submitted to American Family.

21. Personal insurance rates are set to cover future expected claims and expenses. Auto insurers develop such rates by extrapolating from recent historical experience with premium payments, claims submitted, claim settlement expenses, and non-claim selling and administrative expenses, and then projecting future claims and costs from that data.

22. As a result of COVID-19 restrictions, auto insurers' (including American Family's) assumptions about future claims in Illinois became dramatically overstated when, in mid-March of 2020, the state's roads emptied, and the frequency of motor vehicle accidents and insurance claims dropped precipitously and immediately. This rendered the premiums charged by American Family to its Illinois policyholders grossly excessive, not only for new policyholders going forward, but also for existing policyholders whose premiums were based on the overstated and obsolete claim projections.

## American Family's Wrongful Conduct

23. Recognizing the windfall in premiums that the auto insurance industry will reap as a result of COVID-19, many auto insurers have provided premium relief to their policyholders. For example, the State Farm companies, which possess the largest market share for auto insurance in the U.S., have given their auto policyholders a 25% credit on premiums for the period from March 20, 2020 to May 31, 2020.

24. By contrast, the premium relief offered by American Family to its Illinois policyholders has been grossly inadequate and designed to secure for American Family an unearned and unfair windfall.

25. Specifically, in statements posted on its website, American Family issued in April 2020 an arbitrary, one-time \$50.00 payment to customers with eligible auto policies that were in force as of March 11, 2020. American Family subsequently offered 10% credit for personal auto premiums in force beginning July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020. A 10% credit falls far short of the relief that any fair and reasonable actuarial analysis would require. No relief has been provided to existing customers for May or June.

27. American Family's premium relief compares unfavorably to substantially all of the premium-relief programs established by other Illinois auto insurers in response to the COVID-19 crisis.



### Class Certification Allegations

28. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings bring this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as representative of the following proposed classes: All Illinois residents who were auto insurance policyholders of defendant American Family General Insurance Company as of March 1, 2020, and who have thereafter continued to be American Family auto policyholders.

29. This action is brought and may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801 because:

a. The proposed class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all absent class members is impracticable. While the exact number and identities of proposed class members is presently unknown, and can only be determined through investigation and discovery, plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed class includes over 1,000 members.

b. There are questions of fact or law common to each member of the proposed class, and these common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. Such common questions include:

i. Whether each member of the proposed class was a policyholder under an existing American Family auto insurance policy as of March 1, 2020, and has since continued to be a American Family policyholder;

ii. Whether each member of the proposed class has been offered or provided with the inadequate premium relief described in paragraph 25 above;

iii. Whether the fairness and/or reasonableness of American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, is governed by the terms of its auto policies;

iv. Whether American Family's offer or provision of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice;

v. Whether American Family implemented its offer of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, with the expectation that others would rely upon any misrepresentation, or any concealment or omission of material fact, subsumed within such offer;

vi. Whether American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, is unfair and/or unreasonable;

vii. Whether American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, constitutes a breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing contained in each of the company's Illinois auto policies;

viii. Whether American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, results in unjust enrichment to American Family; and

ix. Whether American Family owes greater COVID-related premium relief to its Illinois auto policyholders.

c. The plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the proposed class.

d. Classwide resolution of the dispute is an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the proposed class's controversy with American Family.

## COUNT I

### Declaratory Relief

30. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, unlawfully deprives its Illinois auto policyholders of the full and fair COVID-related premium relief to which they are entitled.

32. American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, is designed to secure for American Family, and has secured and will continue to secure for American Family, an unfair windfall at the expense of American Family's Illinois auto policyholders.

33. American Family's program of premium relief, as described in paragraph 25 above, is unlawful.

34. An actual controversy of a justiciable nature exists between the plaintiff, Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (on the one hand), and American Family (on the other), concerning the parties' rights and obligations with respect to American Family's program of COVID-related premium relief.

35. The controversy is of sufficient immediacy to justify the entry of a declaratory judgment.

36. An award of declaratory relief by this Court will terminate some or all of the existing controversy between the parties.

## COUNT II

### **Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act**

37. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

38. The Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (“ICFA”) makes unlawful:

Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including but not limited to the use or employment of any deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation or the concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact, with intent that others rely upon the concealment, suppression or omission of such material fact, or the use or employment of any practice described in Section 2 of the “Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act”, approved August 5, 1965,<sup>1</sup> in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful whether any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby.

815 ILCS 505/2.

39. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family represented to its Illinois auto policyholders (implicitly, if not explicitly) that such offer is fair and reasonable, when in fact it is neither.

40. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family concealed from its Illinois auto policyholders, or omitted to share with such policyholders, the inadequacy and unfairness of that offer. American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that others rely upon such concealment and/or omission.

41. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family concealed from its Illinois auto policyholders, or omitted to share with such policyholders, the fact that such offer compares unfavorably to the COVID-19 premium relief offered by all or substantially all other Illinois auto insurers. American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that others rely upon such concealment and/or omission.

42. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family expressly represented to its Illinois auto policyholders that they "can trust [American Family] to support" such policyholders "during the coronavirus[.]" American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that others rely upon such concealment and/or omission.

43. The facts concealed or omitted by American Family, as alleged within this Count II, were and continue to be material facts in the context alleged herein.

44. American Family's conduct, as alleged above, is in violation of 815 ILCS 505/2.

45. As a direct result of American Family's violation of 815 ILCS 505/2, plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, have been deprived of the COVID-related premium relief to which they are fairly and lawfully entitled.

## COUNT III

### Common Law Fraud

46. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 45 above.

47. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family represented to its Illinois auto policyholders (implicitly, if not explicitly) that such offer is fair and reasonable, when in fact it is neither. American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that its existing Illinois auto policyholders rely upon such representation.

48. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family concealed from its Illinois auto policyholders, or omitted to share with such policyholders, the inadequacy and unfairness of that offer. American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that its existing Illinois auto policyholders rely upon such concealment and/or omission.

49. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family has concealed from its Illinois auto policyholders, or omitted to share with such policyholders, the fact that such offer compares unfavorably to the COVID-19 premium relief offered by all or substantially all other Illinois auto insurers. American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that its existing Illinois auto policyholders rely upon such concealment and/or omission.

50. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family expressly represented to its Illinois auto policyholders that "[w]e're here when you need us" and

further that the refunds offered were to “support our customers through the changes and challenges brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic.” American Family engaged in such conduct with the intent that its existing Illinois auto policyholders rely upon such representation.

51. The facts represented, concealed, or omitted by American Family, as alleged within this Count III, were and continue to be material facts in the context alleged herein.

52. In making its offer of premium relief, American Family knew or believed that its representations and/or omissions, as alleged within this Count III, were false and/or deceptive.

53. American Family's existing auto policyholders, including plaintiff, justifiably relied on the express and/or implied representations subsumed within the company's offer of premium relief. In addition, under the facts as alleged herein, such justifiable reliance is presumed.

54. As a direct result of American Family's acts of common law fraud, plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, has been deprived of the COVID-related premium relief to which they are fairly and lawfully entitled.

## COUNT IV

### **Bad-Faith Breach of Contract**

55. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 54 above.

56. Each existing AMERICAN FAMILY auto insurance policy in the State of Illinois contains an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

57. By undertaking to (ostensibly) provide its Illinois auto policyholders with COVID-related premium relief, while limiting such relief in a manner that is grossly inadequate, grossly unfair, and designed to secure a windfall for American Family at the expense of such policyholders, American Family has breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing contained within each such policyholder's Illinois auto policy.

58. As a direct result of American Family's bad faith breach of contract, plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, has been deprived of the COVID-related premium relief to which they are fairly and lawfully entitled.

## COUNT V

### **Unjust Enrichment**

59. Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 58 above.



60. By engaging in the conduct alleged herein, American Family has unjustly retained a benefit to the detriment of its existing Illinois auto policyholders. American Family's retention of such benefit violates fundamental principles of justice, equity, and good conscience.

61. To the extent that American Family's conduct as alleged herein is not governed by any express contract, Plaintiff, Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated is properly entitled to recover from American Family for American Family's unjust enrichment in connection with its program of COVID-related premium relief.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Holly R. Ridings, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment as follows:

a. Entering an Order certifying the plaintiff class, appointing plaintiff as representative of that class, and appointing plaintiff's counsel to represent the class, all pursuant to ILCS 5/2-101 and 5/2-102;

b. Declaring the parties' rights, duties, status or other legal relations;

c. Entering the judicial declarations sought by this complaint;

d. Awarding compensatory damages to plaintiff and all others similarly situated for American Family's acts of statutory consumer fraud and/or common law fraud;

f. Awarding compensatory damages to plaintiff and all others similarly situated for American Family's bad-faith breach of contract;

g. Awarding compensatory damages to plaintiff and all others similarly situated for American Family's unjust enrichment;

h. Awarding to plaintiff and all others similarly situated all costs of this action; and

i. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues herein so triable.

Dated: July 29, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Antonio M. Romanucci  
Attorney for the Plaintiff

Antonio M. Romanucci  
David A. Neiman  
**ROMANUCCI & BLANDIN, LLC**  
321 N. Clark St., Suite 900  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Tel: (312) 458-1000  
Fax: (312) 458-1004  
aromanucci@rblaw.net  
dneiman@rblaw.net

Of Counsel:

John S. Spadaro  
**JOHN SHEEHAN SPADARO, LLC**  
54 Liborio Lane  
Smyrna, DE 19977  
(302)235-7745