EXHIBIT A



Computershare Governance Services, Inc.

100 Beard Sawmill Road, Shelton, CT 06484

10/16/2020

Specialized Loan Servicing LLC Bryan Sullivan Computershare Inc. 6200 S. Quebec Street Greenwood Village CO 80111

SERVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

Item: 2020-1198

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or how to respond, should be directed to the contact set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government agency where the matter is being heard.

1.	Entity Served:	Specialized Loan Servicing LLC		
2.	Title of Action:	Eric T. Mitchell, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated vs. Specialized Loan Servicing LLC, et al.		
3.	Document(s) Served:	Summons Class Action Complaint Exhibit A Civil Case Cover Sheet		
4.	Court/Agency:	Los Angeles County Superior Court, California		
5.	State Served:	Delaware		
6.	Case Number:	20STCV37533		
7.	Case Type:	Fair Credit Reporting Act		
8.	Method of Service:	Hand Delivered		
9.	Date Received:	Thursday 10/15/2020		
10.	Date to Client:	Friday 10/16/2020		
11.	# Days When Answer Due: Answer Due Date:	30 Saturday 11/14/2020 CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of the estimated Answer Due Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immediately confirming in writing with opposing counsel that the date of the service in their records matches the Date Received.		
12.	Sop Sender: (Name, City, State, and Phone Number)	Caleb Marker Manhattan Beach, CA 877-500-8780		
13.	Shipped To Client By:	Email Only with PDF Link		
14.	Tracking Number:			
15.	Handled By:	081		
16.	Notes:	Also Attached: * Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location * Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case		

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At ComputerShare, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by United Agent Group Inc.

Phone: 866 820 7754, Option 2 I www.cgsregisteredagent.com

Department of State: Division of Corporations

Allowable Characters

HOME

About Agency Secretary's Letter

Newsroom

Frequent Questions

Related Links

Contact Us

Office Location

SERVICES

Pay Taxes File UCC's

Delaware Laws Online

Name Reservation

Entity Search

Status

Validate Certificate

Customer Service Survey

Loading...

Entity Details

THIS IS NOT A STATEMENT OF GOOD STANDING

Incorporation 12/31/2002

Date / (mm/dd/yyyy)

Formation

Date:

Entity Name: SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC

Limited

3609150

File Number:

Liability Entity Kind: Entity Type: General

Company

Residency: **Domestic** State: **DELAWARE**

REGISTERED AGENT INFORMATION

UNITED AGENT GROUP INC. Name:

Address: 3411 SILVERSIDE ROAD TATNALL BUILDING #104

City: WILMINGTON County: New Castle

State: DE Postal Code: 19810

Phone: 561-508-5033

Additional Information is available for a fee. You can retrieve Status for a fee of \$10.00 or

more detailed information including current franchise tax assessment, current filing history

and more for a fee of \$20.00.

Would you like OStatus OStatus, Tax & History Information

Submit

View Search Results New Entity Search

For help on a particular field click on the Field Tag to take you to the help area.

site map | privacy | about this site | contact us | translate | delaware.gov

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

Specialized Loan Servicing LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1-100, inclusive.

RECEIVED

SEP 3 0 2020

(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORPO CONFORMED COPPO ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

SUM-100

SEP 30 2020

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clark of Court

By: Kristina Vargas, Deputy

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Eric T. Mitchell, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated.

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's flen must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Available of the court of the co

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Californía (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court, Central Division Stanley Mosk Courthouse, 111 N Hill St., Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso): 20 ST CV3 7533

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Caleb Marker, Zimmerman Reed LLP, 2381 Rosecrans Ave., Ste. 328, Manhattan Beach, CA 90245; Tel.(877) 500-8780

DATE: (Fecha)	SEP	3	0 ;	2020	SHERRI R. CARTER	Clerk, by (Secretario)	Kristina Vargas , Deputy (Adjunto)
					nons, use Proof of Service of Summons citatión use el formulario Proof of Service	(form POS-010).)	POS-010).)
[SEAL]					NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: Y	ou are served	
					s an individual defendant.	Tatitions name of (anacifed:
					2. \square as the person sued under the fig. 3. \square on behalf of (specify): $\sqrt{\rho} \alpha$	cialized to	the Servicing LLC
					under: CCP 416.10 (corporation	on)	CCP 416.60 (minor)
į					CCP 416.20 (defunct c	orporation)	CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
1					CCP 416.40 (association	on or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
					other (specify):	Fr	
					Dy personal delivery on (date)	01572020	Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009] SUMMONS /

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courts.ca.gov

		ž.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CALEB MARKER (SBN 269721) Email: caleb.marker@zimmreed.com FLINN T. MILLIGAN (SBN 323042) Email: flinn.milligan@zimmreed.com ARIELLE M. CANEPA (SBN 329546) Email: arielle.canepa@zimmreed.com ZIMMERMAN REED, LLP 2381 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 328 Manhattan Beach, CA 90245 (877) 500-8780 Telephone (877) 500-8781 Facsimile Attorneys for Plaintiff	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California Country of Los Angeles SEP 30 2020 Shert R. Carter, Executive Offices/Clerk of Ceu By: Kristina Vargas, Deputy
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ERIC T. MITCHELL, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1-100, inclusive, Defendant.	CASE NO.: 20STCV37533 HONORABLE DEPARTMENT: CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 1. Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681; 2. Violation of Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 9056, 9057; 3. Violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.; 4. Breach of Contract; 5. Negligence; 6. Declaratory Relief; and 7. Injunctive Relief. (DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)
24 25 26 27 28	•	
	COME	DI AINIT

EXHIBIT A, PAGE 006

2 | 3 | 4 |

19

Plaintiff Eric T. Mitchell ("Plaintiff or "Mitchell"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, hereby commences this suit against Defendant Specialized Loan Servicing LLC a/k/a Computershare Loan Servicing, (hereinafter "Defendant" or 'SLS"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations based upon personal knowledge, information and belief, and his attorneys' reasonable investigative efforts as to Defendant's actions and misconduct, and states and alleges as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This case challenges Defendant's conduct reporting forbearances to credit bureaus as deficiencies, when in fact, borrowers are not delinquent due to the lawful postponement of their payments under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), enacted to provide emergency relief to those under financial stress during the national coronavirus emergency and agreements with Defendant. The CARES Act prohibits mortgage servicers like Defendant from reporting loans in forbearance agreements as late or otherwise deficient, yet that is what Defendant does, violating the CARES Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, their contracts with Plaintiff and the Class, public policy, and other law.
- 2. Through this action, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief, injunctive relief and declaratory relief, including a cessation of unlawful practices and mandating corrective reporting to the extent that negative credit reports have already been made, on behalf of all others similarly situated in the Class. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks prospective public injunctive relief and declaratory judgment, on behalf of the general public. Plaintiff is a member of the general public and seeks the same public injunctive relief for himself as for the general public, including but not limited to a cessation of the challenged practices and notice to the general public of the risks involved in transacting with Defendant. To the extent Defendant continues reporting forbearances to third-party credit bureaus (such as Transunion, Equifax and Experion) as delinquent, Plaintiff seeks to enjoin all further reporting on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. Future damage to the general public is imminent if the relief requested is not granted as future credit transactions will be impeded and consumers/class members, like Plaintiff prejudiced. Plaintiff has been injured because, *inter alia*, Defendant furnished a delinquent notice to the credit bureaus, negatively affecting subsequent credit transactions he sought to complete and still intends to complete. As a result of Defendant's negligent or intentional conduct, Plaintiff has been denied

contract rights and bargaining benefits and will sustain further injury in the future and therefore, seeks prospective public injunctive and declaratory relief to ensure that he and other members of the general public are not inhibited from any future benefits and do not sustain any further credit damage. Unless enjoined in this action, Defendant's ongoing conduct will continue, causing further injury to Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, but escape review.

II. THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Eric Mitchell ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in North Hollywood, California. Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of California.
- 4. Plaintiff asserts the claims below on his own behalf and all other similarly situated in the Class.
- 5. Plaintiff is a member of the Class, defined below and suffered injury in fact and out of pocket monetary loss as a result of the practices complained of herein.
- 6. In early May 2020, Plaintiff agreed to the Defendant's form extended assistance plan or Forbearance Plan, drafted to protect Plaintiff's rights under the CARES Act, intended to postpone payments due on his residential loans for 180 days during the national coronavirus emergency. The standard form contract was one of adhesion.
- 7. Defendant Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC ("SLS" or "Defendant"), a servicer of residential mortgages in California and other states, is a Delaware limited liability company. *See generally* https://www.sls.net/. It maintains its principal place of business in Highlands Park, Colorado. Defendant's business operations reach into the state of California and it purposely avails itself of California's laws and benefits making jurisdiction in this court appropriate and in accord with due process.
- 8. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendant DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names.
- 9. SLS and its parents, Specialized Loan Servicing Holdings LLC ("SLS Holdings"), are direct or indirect subsidiaries of a globally operated services of residential mortgage loans, Computershare Limited ("Computershare"), an Australian company.

-19

- 10. SLS also conducts business as Computershare Loan Servicing. (https://www.computershareloanservices.com/us/about-us/our-history).
- 11. On or about July 27, 2020 Computershare announced the consolidation of its U.S. mortgage services businesses, including Specialized Loan Servicing LLC, under its Computershare Loan Services brand. See News Release, Computershare consolidates LenderLive, Property Solutions and Specialized Loan Servicing into Computershare Loan Services brand, July 27, 2020, available at https://www.computershareloanservices.com/News/CLS%20Consolidates%20Brands.pdf.

("Computershare said that it would continue to use the SLS name on communications with borrowers.")

- 12. 'SLS was acquired by Computershare (ASX: CPU; www.computershare.com) in or about 2011. SLS is a sophisticated loan servicer, that aims to be the premier loan servicer of all major US banks. "Regulatory and other pressures are leading many US banks to consider outsourcing their mortgage servicing and identify sub-servicing solutions such as those provided by SLS," said John Beggins, CEO, SLS. "With the strength of Computershare behind us, we are positioned to become the standout servicing solution for all major US banks."
- 13. Computershare is the global market leading in transfer agency, employee equity plans, mortgage servicing, proxy solicitation, and stakeholder communications.² Computershare's website states that the company "currently services over \$100 billion loans globally and supports hundreds of thousands of customers throughout the lifecycle of their loans." Computershare is currently traded on the Australian Stock Exchange under the symbol CPU.

III. JURISDICTION & VENUE

14. This Court has jurisdiction over all causes of action asserted herein pursuant to the Constitution of the State of California, article VI, § 10 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 395.

Computershare Finalizes Acquisition of Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, Cision Newswire, (Dec. 1, 2011, 9:00 AM), https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/computershare-finalizes-acquisition-of-specialized-loan-servicing-llc-134822463.html

² Computershare Loan Services Expands to More Than 4,000 Employees and 1 million Borrower Accounts Globally, (Oct. 20, 2016), https://www.computershare.com/us/news-insights/news/computershare-loan-services-expands-to-more-than-4-000-employees-and-1-million-borrower-accounts-globally

³ Computershare Loan Services, *Our History*, https://www.computershareloanservices.com/us/about-us/our-history

5 6

4

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is a LLC with its principal place of business in Highlands Park, Colorado and doing business in the State of California, and it conducts, and is authorized to conduct, substantial business in this State, generally, and this County, specifically. Defendant has sufficient contacts with the State of California such that rendering the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts is consistent with the traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 16. Venue is proper in this County because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this County. Plaintiff's mortgage transaction, serviced by Defendant, is secured by property in this county. Plaintiff's transaction with Defendant occurred in this county, where Plaintiff resides. Defendant charges and collects mortgage payments (principal, interest, any agreed escrow items such as property tax or insurance, etc.) from Plaintiff in this county. The loan forbearance agreement at issue in this dispute was agreed to and executed in this county.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 17. On March 13, 2020, the incumbent Executive Administration declared the outbreak of the novel coronavirus disease a national emergency. With this declaration, among other things, the CARES Act was enacted by Congress to provide financial assistance to Americans affected by the global economic shutdown.
- 18. Under the CARES Act, qualifying borrowers were granted the right to request a forbearance on federally backed mortgage loans, regardless of delinquency status or cause. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(b)(1).
- 19. Under the Act, the relief from residential loan payments is a forbearance, or deferral, and not a forgiveness. Thus, Borrowers protected by the Act receive a grace period where payments are not required but are eventually required to resume payments and fully satisfy their outstanding debt owing on their loan upon termination of the forbearance period.
 - 20. 15 U.S.C. § 9056 provides, in pertinent part:
 - (b) Forbearance
 - (1) In general: During the covered period, a borrower with a Federally backed mortgage loan experiencing a financial hardship due, directly or indirectly, to the COVID-19 emergency may request forbearance on the Federally backed mortgage loan, regardless of delinquency status, by-

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

19

1//

- (bb) if the consumer brings the credit obligation or account current during the period described in item (aa), report the credit obligation or account as current.
- 23. SLS has no reason to report delinquencies to credit bureaus during the forbearance period.
- 24. In April 2020, Plaintiff sought relief under the CARES Act from SLS, the servicer of his residential loan.
- 25. Plaintiff's request for a forbearance was accepted and an agreement was formed between Plaintiff and SLS, relieving Plaintiff of his obligation to make all monthly payments on the loan through October 2020. See Exhibit A. The forbearance agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant was effective May 1, 2020.
- 26. Defendant, SLS is a primary and special fee-based servicer of residential mortgage loans.

 As of February 2020, Defendant has serviced a portfolio of mortgage loans worth \$112.69 billion.⁴
- 27. Defendant services tens of thousands of mortgage loans, a large percentage of those in California. Thousands of the loans serviced are believed to be subject to forbearance agreements in 2020 under the CARES Act. See generally, Diana Olick, Struggling to pay your mortgage? Here's how to get help. CNBC, (May 7, 2020, 9:20 AM), available at: https://www.cnbc.com/2020/05/07/4-million-homeowners-in-CARES-act-mortgage-forbearance-program.html (reporting that approximately 7.3% of active mortgage loans nationally are in forbearance agreements); Brittany De Lea, CARES Act mortgage forbearance repayment options, FOX Business. (July 17, 2020), available at: https://www.foxbusiness.com/personal-finance/CARES-act-mortgage-forbearance-repayment-options ("As of July 5, about 4.1 million loans were in forbearance, according to the Mortgage Bankers Association. The percent of loans in forbearance backed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac was about 6 percent, while those backed by Ginnie Mae was about 10.56 percent.").

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Settles with Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, CFPB, (May 11, 2020) https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/newsroom/cfpb-settles-specialized-loan-servicing/

- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant has entered into forbearance agreements under the CARES Act with well over one thousand borrowers both in California and other states, including Plaintiff.
- 29. SLS has a history of ignoring borrower protection requirements. For instance, earlier in 2020, SLS was investigated by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau for taking prohibited disclosure actions against borrowers who were entitled to foreclosure protections and for failing to send them timely notices in violation of the Consumer Financial Protection Act. On May 11, 2020, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau settled with SLS, ordering the entity to pay \$1.275 million in monetary relief to consumers injured by Defendant's conduct.⁵
- 30. At all times Defendant had actual or constructive knowledge of its obligations under the forbearance measures of the CARES Act and Fair Credit Reporting Act, including not to report loans in forbearance as late or deficient to third-parties, including credit report bureaus. Defendant was aware that violating this provision would cause injury, harm, prejudice, financial loss and injury to borrowers, such as Plaintiff.
- 31. Borrowers, such as Plaintiff rely on SLS, in entering into forbearance agreements, not to violate the terms of the CARES Act and other laws. Had Plaintiff known that SLS would report payments not made due to the Forbearance Agreement as late payments to third-parties, such as credit report bureaus, he would have acted differently and not entered into the agreement and taken steps to protect his credit rating, among other things.
- 32. Plaintiff requested a forbearance agreement under the CARES Act from SLS in late March or April of 2020.
- 33. Plaintiff entered into a contract with Defendant for loan forbearance in April 2020. The original forbearance "begin date" was May 1, 2020.
- 34. Subsequently, on June 25, 2020, a document presented to Plaintiff by SLS indicated "we have extended your assistance plan in place".

⁵ See In the Matter of Specialized Loan Servicing, No. 2020-BCFP-0002, C.F.P.B. (May, 11, 2020), https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_specialized-loan-servicing_consent-order_2020-05.pdf

ĺ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- If you qualify for forbearance, you can pause your mortgage payments for an initial three months. If your hardship continues, we will automatically extend your forbearance for an additional three months. For federally-backed loans you may extend your forbearance an additional six months. The total allowable length of forbearance is 360 days.
- We will not charge late fees during the forbearance period.
- During the forbearance period, any payments that are paused during forbearance won't be reported late to the credit bureaus.

-19

 During the forbearance period, you are not required to make a payment, but if you are able, you can make a payment or even a partial payment.

See Getting Help During COVID-19, (July 24, 2020) https://www.sls.net/get-help/covid-19.(emphasis added).

- 39. Thereafter, Plaintiff suspended his regularly scheduled mortgage payments, consistent with the forbearance plan contract, the CARES Act. and Fair Credit Reporting Act.
- 40. During the period of forbearance, Defendant was prohibited from reporting the loan payments that Plaintiff was relieved from making by way of the contract and the CARES Act as late, deficient, or otherwise in a negative manner. See 15 U.S.C § 9056(b)(3); 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(F)(ii).
- 41. SLS had an affirmative duty of care under the contracts, CARES Act and/or other law not to report borrower's unmade payments as late or deficient.
- 42. It was reasonably foreseeable to SLS that if it failed to do so, and reported forborne payments as late, delinquent or otherwise negatively, substantial financial and/or other injury would result to borrowers like Plaintiff.
- 43. Like other borrowers, Plaintiff relied on SLS, in entering into the forbearance agreements, not to violate the terms of the CARES Act and other laws with respect to negative credit reporting. Credit reporting obligations (specifically the duty and obligation not to make negative reports of unmade payment as late or deficient) in the agreements and CARES Act are material terms to borrowers, like Plaintiff in entering into forbearance agreements. Had Plaintiff known that SLS would report payments not made due to the forbearance agreement as late payments to third-parties, such as credit report bureaus, he would have acted differently and not entered into the agreement and taken additional steps to protect his credit rating, among other things.
- 44. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau issued a Policy Statement on April 1, 2020 following the passage of the CARES Act, highlighting the importance of credit reporting to consumers and need for loans in forbearance under the CARES Act to not be reported as delinquent or late.

Consumer report information is critical to consumers and industry in determining who obtains credit, insurance, and housing, and at what price, and who obtains employment in many cases. Consumer reporting has enormous reach, as evidenced by the over 200 million

consumers in the United States who have credit files and trade lines furnished by over 10,000 providers. The continued operation of the consumer reporting system will play a critical role in the functioning of the consumer financial services market, promoting fair and efficient access to credit and benefiting consumers and creditors alike. The Bureau understands that the current crisis impacts the financial well-being of consumers and poses operational challenges for consumer reporting agencies and furnishers, including staffing challenges, that could temporarily impede their ability to timely comply with their statutory and regulatory consumer reporting obligations.

Many furnishers are or will be offering consumers affected by COVID-19 various forms of payment flexibility, including allowing consumers to defer or skip payments, as required by the CARES Act or voluntarily. Such payment accommodations will avoid the reporting of delinquencies resulting from the effects of COVID-19.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Policy Statement, Statement on Supervisory and Enforcement Practices Regarding the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Regulation V in Light of the CARES Act, April 1, 2020 (available at https://www.consumerfinance.gov/policy-compliance/guidance/supervisory-guidance/statement-fair-credit-reporting-act-regulation-v-cares-act/).

45. The CFPB issued a second statement on April 1, 2020 regarding credit reporting obligations. That statement indicated in pertinent part:

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau) today released a policy statement outlining the responsibility of credit reporting companies and furnishers during the COVID-19 pandemic. In response to the pandemic, many lenders are being flexible when it comes to consumers' making payments. The Bureau's statement underscores that consumers benefit if lenders report accurate information about these arrangements to credit bureaus so that the credit reports of consumers are accurate.

"During this time of uncertainty, we are providing clarity to ensure the consumer reporting industry can continue to function," said Director Kraninger. "Consumers rely on their credit report to purchase a new car, their new home, or to finance their college education. An effective consumer reporting system is critical in promoting fair and efficient access to credit in the consumer financial services market."

As lenders continue to offer struggling borrowers payment accommodations, Congress last week passed the CARES Act. The Act requires lenders to report to credit bureaus that consumers are current on their loans if consumers have sought relief from their lenders due to the pandemic. The Bureau's statement informs lenders they must comply with the CARES Act. The Bureau's statement also encourages lenders to continue to voluntarily provide payment relief to consumers and to report accurate information to credit bureaus relating to this relief. The continuation of reporting such accurate payment information produces substantial benefits for consumers, users of consumer reports, and the economy as a whole.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *CFPB Issues Credit Reporting Guidance During COVID-*19 Pandemic, April 1, 2020 (available at https://www.consumerfinance.gov/aboutus/newsroom/cfpb-issues-credit-reporting-guidance-during-covid-19-pandemic/)

- 46. In August 2020, Plaintiff intended to purchase a car using financing and applied for an auto loan at a local automobile dealership in California. At the dealership before completion of the desired vehicle transaction, the dealer informed Plaintiff that his credit report rendered him unqualified for the car loan applied for.
- 47. Following Plaintiff's investigation, he learned that Defendant had been reporting him delinquent on the SLS residential loan that he was protected by forbearance on by way of the contract and the CARES Act.
- 48. Plaintiff's review of his credit reports in August 2020 revealed that SLS had reported his mortgage payment as delinquent during the agreed forbearance period (June-August 2020), damaging his credit rating.
- 49. Plaintiff's loan was reported to third-party credit bureaus as delinquent by Defendant based on a common business practice or policy that affected other class members in a similar way.
- 50. As a result of the negative reports and drop in his credit rating, Plaintiff was unable to complete the vehicle transaction on the terms offered and incurred financial injury, loss of money and other damage. The vehicle transaction was secured, but for Defendant's conduct which sabotaged it. Amongst other things, Plaintiff spent significant personal time (and opportunity costs related to his profession), as well as money travelling to the car dealership (lost gas among other things), participating in the transaction negotiating terms, only to learn that because of Defendant's conduct he was disqualified and the transaction could not close and be completed. Plaintiff lost the benefit of his bargain, both with Defendant under the Forbearance Agreement, but also the auto dealership under the agreed transaction. But for Defendant's conduct in violation of the forbearance agreement and CARES Act, Plaintiff would have successfully completed the vehicle transaction. That the transaction could not be completed caused injury, damage and out of pocket loss to Plaintiff. Plaintiff valued the vehicle he sought to purchase more than the amount of money he agreed to pay for it (with financing), and thus was injured and suffered out of pocket loss when he lost the ability to complete the transaction.

- 51. Plaintiff's attempts and expectations to enter into other credit transactions has also been frustrated. For instance, Plaintiff has lost recent opportunities to try to negotiate and complete desired real estate transaction(s), taking advantage of historically favorable (low) interest rates currently available. But for Defendant's conduct negatively impacting his credit rating, Plaintiff would have been able to successfully engage in the desire transactions, unhindered by the prejudice of incorrectly reported, negative credit reports due to missed or late mortgage payments in the summer of 2020.
- 52. On information and belief, Defendant has negligently or intentionally reported numerous other borrowers, protected by Defendant's forbearance plan contracts and the terms of the CARES Act, late or delinquent in violation of Defendant's terms and federal law, injuring them in similar ways.
- 53. Through this action, Plaintiff seeks both public injunctive relief and private injunctive relief preventing such practices from continuing in the future, and corrective notice warning potential borrowers. Plaintiff intends to engage in other credit transactions in the future and until fixed, his credit rating has improper negative reports on it that will prejudice him in those future transactions.

V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 54. This action is brought and properly maintained as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and any other applicable rule of civil procedure.
 - 55. Plaintiff Mitchell seeks to represent a Class in the action initially defined as:

All borrowers in the United States who entered into to an extended assistance or Forbearance Plan with Defendant and have been reported to third-parties, including credit bureaus, as delinquent on their loan payments by SLS during the applicable forbearance period. (the "Class").

- A "California subclass" consists of all Class members who reside in California.
- 56. The Class Period for the Class and the California Subclass dates back the length of the longest appliable statute of limitations for the any claim asserted from the date of the filing of this complaint. ("Class Period"). Specifically excluded from the Class and California Subclass are: (a) any officers, directors, or employees of the Defendant; (b) any judge assigned to hear the case (or spouse or family member of any assigned judge); (c) any employee of the Court; (d) the undersigned counsel and any employee of their law firm; and (d) any juror selected to hear the case.

--19

- 57. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the above-referenced definitions before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.
- 58. This action is properly maintained as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 because the Class (and subclass) is sufficiently ascertainable and numerous, has a well-defined community of interest, and substantial benefits from certification render class treatment superior to the alternative, individual treatment.
- 59. Ascertainable and Numerous Class. The proposed Class (and subclass) is sufficiently numerous. In excess of 7 percent of mortgages in the United States are subject to forbearance agreements. Upon information and belief a similar percentages of loans serviced by Defendant are subject to forbearance agreements. Upon information and belief, the same policies that caused Plaintiff's loan to be reported delinquent to the major credit reporting bureaus, affected the other borrowers in forbearance agreements with Defendant. Upon information and belief, in excess of 100 borrowers fall within the definition of the Class and California Subclass.
- 60. The proposed Class (and any subclass) is ascertainable, as the names, number of residential mortgage loans, value of residential mortgage loans, contact information, all data related to the existence of a formed Forbearance Plan, and all data related to deficiency reports can be identified in the business records maintained by Defendant. Thus, individual Class members can be identified by Plaintiff through minimally intrusive discovery. The proposed Class is ascertainable, as it is sufficiently numerous. Defendant employs a sophisticated software system, enhanced by their parent company Computershare, and service borrowers nationwide. The precise number of members of the Class can only be obtained through discovery, but the number is more than can be consolidated in one complaint. It is impractical for each member to bring suit individually and Plaintiff does not anticipate any difficulties in the management of the action as a class action.
- 61. **Well-Defined Community of Interest.** The proposed Class (and subclass) has a well-defined community of interest in the questions of fact and law to be litigated and the common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class with respect to the liability and relief issues, as well as anticipated affirmative defenses.

26

27

- 62. Common Questions of Fact and Law Predominate over Individual Issues. The litigation of the questions of fact and law involved in this action will resolve the rights of all members of the Class (and California Subclass) and hence, will have binding effect on all Class members. Among such common questions of law and fact are the following:
 - a. Whether Defendant intentionally or negligently failed to implement procedures to prevent payments not due to Forbearance Agreements, from being negatively reported to credit bureaus and/or other thirdparties;
 - b. Whether Defendant breached the terms of the Forbearance Plan contracts by reporting borrowers' delinquent to credit bureaus;
 - c. Whether Defendant's conduct as alleged herein violated the CARES
 Act;
 - d. Whether Defendant's conduct as alleged herein violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act; and
 - e. Whether Defendant's conduct as alleged herein violated the California
 Unfair Competition Law;
 - f. Whether injunctive relief is appropriate for Plaintiff and members of the general public on a prospective basis stopping *inter alia*, corrective notice warning members of the public of Defendant's practices;
 - g. Whether separate private injunctive relief is appropriate, stopping

 Defendant's practices and requiring corrections to credit reports;
 - h. Whether declaratory relief is appropriate for Plaintiff and members of the general public; and
 - Whether statutory damages, nominal damages, penalties or other relief is appropriate to both Plaintiff and members of the Class (and California Subclass).
- 63. **Typicality.** Plaintiff is a typical member of the Class (and California Subclass) and his claims are typical of claims of other Class members. Plaintiff and Class members use Defendant's loans

-19

services and qualified for a forbearance of the payment of their loan under the CARES Act. Further Plaintiff and Class members agreed to a Forbearance Plan with Defendant and were reported delinquent on their loan to credit bureaus by Defendant, sustaining damage to their credit. Plaintiff's loan was reported to third-party credit bureaus as delinquent based on a common business practice or policy that affected other class members in a similar way. Plaintiff and Class members sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages arising from Defendant's common and uniform course of wrongful conduct, and Plaintiff's claims are founded on the same legal theories as those of the Class (and California Subclass).

- 64. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action, has no interests contrary to those of other Class members, and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class (and California Subclass). Plaintiff has no conflict of interest with the members of the Class (and California Subclass) he seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of Zimmerman Reed, LLP, competent counsel with extensive experience in class-action litigation, including consumer protection litigation, and who have the necessary financial and legal resources to meet litigation costs and legal issues.
- 65. Superiority of Class Adjudication. The certification of a class in this action is superior to the litigation of a multitude of cases by members of the putative class. Class adjudication will conserve judicial resources and avoid the possibility of inconsistent rulings. There are Class members who are unlikely to join or bring an action due to, among other reasons, their reluctance to sue Defendant and/or their inability to afford a separate action. Equity dictates that all persons who stand to benefit from the relief sought herein should be subject to the lawsuit and hence subject to an order spreading the costs of the litigation among the Class members in relation to the benefits received. Given the amount of the individual Class members' claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress individually for the wrongs complained of herein. Individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class-action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

3

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

- 66. Additionally, the Class (and California Subclass) may be certified because:
- a. The prosecution of separate actions by the individual members of the Class (and California Subclass) would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual Class members' claims which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant;
- b. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class (and California Subclass) would create a risk of adjudications which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class (and California Subclass) who are not parties to the adjudications, or which would substantially impair or impede the ability of other Class (and California Subclass) members to protect their interests; and,
- c. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class (and California Subclass), thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to the Class (and California Subclass).

VI. ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE GENERAL PUBLIC

- 67. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and on behalf of the general public pursuant on all counts.
- 68. It is not necessary to seek class certification in order to obtain injunctive relief on behalf of the general public. *McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 2 Cal. 5th 945, 960, 393 P.3d 85, 93 (2017).
- 69. Prospective public injunctive relief, seeking, among other things, corrective notice, a cessation of challenged business practices and well as general notice to members of the public of the risks from transacting with Defendant is appropriate.

DEFENDANT'S INTENT

70. At all times, Defendant intended to conduct the acts set forth herein that it carried out.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

For Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. (Intentional Conduct)

71. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding and succeeding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- I

- 72. Plaintiff brings this claim individually, on behalf of the Class and the general public.
- 73. At all times herein mentioned, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., was in full force and effect and binding upon Defendant.
- 74. The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the CARES Act provides, "If a furnisher makes an accommodation with respect to 1 or more payments on a credit obligation or account of a consumer, and the consumer makes the payments or is not required to make 1 or more payments pursuant to the accommodation, the furnisher shall, report the credit obligation or account as current..." See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(F)(ii); see also Section 4021, Credit Protection During COVID-19.
- 75. Throughout the Class Period, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, Defendant intentionally reported delinquencies on Plaintiff's and all others similarly situated credit obligations.
- 76. There is no legal justification for Defendant's failure to report Plaintiff and other borrowers current on their credit obligations.
- 77. As an actual and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will continue to suffer harm, injury and damage, including but not limited to damaged credit rating and interference with future credit transactions.
- 78. As a result of Defendant's foregoing conduct, should the Court find Defendant intentionally and willfully failed to comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Plaintiff and the Class will be entitled to any actual damages sustained of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 for each class member, punitive damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, and all other relief that may be just and equitable under the circumstances. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A)-(c). Injunctive and declaratory relief is also demanded, as well as all other relief just and equitable in the circumstances including any statutory damages, nominal damages, penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 1021.5 and/or other law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION For Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. (Negligent Conduct)

- 79. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding and succeeding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
 - 80. Plaintiff brings this claim individually, on behalf of the Class and the general public.

. . .

-19

-24

- 81. At all times herein mentioned, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., was in full force and effect and binding upon Defendant.
- 82. The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the CARES Act provides, "If a furnisher makes an accommodation with respect to 1 or more payments on a credit obligation or account of a consumer, and the consumer makes the payments or is not required to make 1 or more payments pursuant to the accommodation, the furnisher shall, report the credit obligation or account as current..." See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(F)(ii); see also Section 4021, Credit Protection During COVID-19.
- 83. Throughout the Class Period, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, Defendant negligently reported delinquencies on Plaintiff's and all others similarly situated credit obligations.
- 84. Defendant had a duty of care to only make proper reports to credit bureaus and other third parties. Defendant breached that duty. It was reasonably foreseeable that by breaching its duty of care and reporting forborne payments as late and delinquent, borrowers like Plaintiffs would suffer harm, be injured and suffer out of pocket loss.
- 85. There is no legal justification for Defendant's failure to report Plaintiff and other borrowers current on their credit obligations.
- 86. As an actual and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will continue to suffer harm, injury and damage, including but not limited to damaged credit rating and interference with future credit transactions.
- 87. As -a result of Defendant's foregoing conduct, should the Court find Defendant negligently failed to comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Plaintiff and the Class will be entitled to any actual damages sustained by the consumer and reasonable attorney's fees and costs. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)-(b). Injunctive and declaratory relief is also demanded, as well as all other relief just and equitable in the circumstances including any statutory damages, nominal damages, penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 1021.5 and/or other law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Contract

88. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding and succeeding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- l
- 2 3.
- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8

- 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22

23

- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 89. Plaintiff brings this claim individually, on behalf of the Class and the general public.
- 90. Plaintiff and each class member entered into a standard Forbearance Agreement with Defendant.
- 91. By the terms of the standard form Forbearance Agreement Defendant drafted and presented to Plaintiff and other members of the general public, Defendant agreed that Plaintiff would not be required to make mortgage payments, there would be no late fees assessed to Plaintiff's account, during the forbearance period Defendant would report the account as current to credit bureaus so there would not be any negative impact on credit, and the account would not be referred to foreclosures. See Exhibit A.
- 92. Defendant breached the Forbearance Agreement. Contrary to its obligations under the contract, Defendant reported Plaintiff's and other class members forborne payments as late or deficient to credit bureaus and / or other third parties.
- Plaintiff and class members have been injured and damaged financially as a result of 93. Defendant's breach to the terms of the Forbearance Agreement and failure to maintain Plaintiff's and other borrowers' current credit rating.
- 94. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to compensatory damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 1021.5 and/or other law, and all other relief that may be just and equitable under the circumstances.
- 95. In addition to damages, injunctive and declaratory relief is also demanded, as well as all other relief just and equitable in the circumstances.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.

- 96. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding and succeeding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 97. Plaintiff brings this claim individually, on behalf of the California Subclass and the general public.
- 98. California's Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et. seq., prohibits any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or

3 4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

misleading advertising."

- "Any person who engages, has engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition may 99. be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. The court may make such orders or judgments, including the appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by any person of any practice which constitutes unfair competition, as defined in this chapter, or as may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by means of such unfair competition." Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203.
- 100. The CARES Act provides consumers the right to request a forbearance on federally backed mortgages loans, regardless of delinquency status. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(b)(1).
- 101. The CARES Act amends the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) to require furnishers of credit information, who make an accommodation, to report the account as current, unless the account was delinquent before the accommodation. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(F)(ii)(I)-(II).
- 102. Accommodations are defined broadly to include forbearances under the CARES Act in addition to a loan servicer's deferment of payments, loan modifications, or other assistance or relief granted to a consumer affected by the COVID-19 pandemic during the covered period. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(F)(i)(I).
- Borrowers with a federally backed mortgage loan who are affected by the COVID-19 103. emergency may obtain a forbearance from loan payments for an initial period of up to 180 days. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(b)(1).
- 104. To obtain the forbearance, the borrower must submit a request to the servicer and affirm that he or she is experiencing a financial hardship during the COVID-19 emergency. See id. After submitting this information, Plaintiff received a confirmation from Defendant regarding the extended assistance plan and deferring Plaintiff's mortgage payments for 180 days. This is the duration of an initial forbearance under the act. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(b).
- The servicer shall require no additional documentation from the borrower other than his 105. or her attestation to a financial hardship caused by the COVID-19 emergency and may not charge fees, penalties, or interest in connection with the forbearance. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(c)(1).

5 6

7

8

4

9 10

11

12

13

14 15 16

17

18

19

24

25

26

27 28

106. During a period of forbearance, no fees, penalties, or interest beyond the amount scheduled or calculated as if the borrower made all contractual payments on time and in full shall accrue on the account. See 15 U.S.C. § 9056(b)(3).

- Here, Defendant's conduct violated the CARES Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act and systematically breached its contracts with class members like Plaintiff and members of the California subclass.
 - 108. By way of the foregoing, Defendant violated the unlawful prong of the UCL.
- 109. An act or practice is unfair if the consumer injury is substantial, is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition, and is not an injury the consumers themselves could reasonably have avoided.
- 110. As explained above, Defendant's conduct reporting delinquent payments was unfair under the UCL because borrower's like Plaintiff's injuries are substantial, are not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to borrowers or to competition, and was not an injury the consumers themselves could reasonably have avoided. Defendant specifically told borrowers like Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass on standard form documents that it would not report forborne payments as delinquent, but then did.
 - 111. By way of the foregoing, Defendant also violated the unfair prong of the UCL.
- Defendant represented to borrowers seeking to enter into forbearance agreements that negative credit reports damaging their credit ratings would not be made. Plaintiff like other borrowers in the California Subclass relied on Defendant in entering into the forbearance agreement and suspending payments during the agreed period of forbearance. Defendant's representations were false and misleading as it did make negative reports to the credit bureaus during the period of forbearance, injuring and damaging Plaintiff and others in the California Subclass. As a reasonable consumer, had Plaintiff been informed that Defendant would make negative reports to the credit bureaus during the period of forbearance, contrary to its representations and the requirements of the CARES Act and Far Credit Reporting Act, he would have acted differently, not entered into the Forbearance Agreement and suspending scheduled payments. Plaintiff was falsely induced to enter the Forbearance Agreement with Defendant and suspend payments on assurances that no negative reports to the credit bureaus would be

1 kg 1 kg 1

made.

- 113. By way of the foregoing, Defendant also violated the fraudulent prong of the UCL.
- 114. Defendant violated (and continues to violate) California's Unfair Competition Law, California Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*, by violating CARES Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the terms of the Forbearance Agreement when furnishing delinquency notices about those who have agreed to an extended assistance plan and remain protected by the deferral.
- 115. Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have been injured and damaged financially, suffering out of pocket loss of money, as a result of Defendant's violations.
- 116. As an actual and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and unfair conduct in violation of the UCL, Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have suffered and will continue to suffer harm and injury, including but not limited to damaged credit rating and interference with future credit transactions.
- 117. Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have been injured by, among other things, the inability to complete desired credit transactions (including car and property) that they would have been able to but for the negative credit reports made by Defendant to third party credit bureaus impacting and lowering their credit rating.
- 118. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass are entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief, appropriate restitution to the extent applicable, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 1021.5 and/or other law, and all other relief that may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence

- 119. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding and succeeding allegations by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 120. Plaintiff brings this claim individually, on behalf of the California Subclass and the general public.
- 121. Through Defendant's above-described conduct reporting forborne payments as late or deficient during the period of agreed forbearance was negligent, breaching the duty of care it had to

22 COMPLAINT

2

Į

4 5

7 8

6

10 11

9

12

13

14 15

17

16

19

20

18

21

2223

24

2526

27

· 33 - 36.

protect Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass and not report forborne payment as late or deficient during the period of agreed forbearance.

- 122. It was reasonably foreseeable to Defendant that if it reported forborne payments to third parties, such as the major credit bureaus, during the period of agreed forbearance, Plaintiff (and others similarly situated) would be harmed and injured financially. Among other things their ability to complete credit transactions in the future would be compromised and prejudiced as it is common for parties extending credit to do so after reviewing and relying on reported credit ratings on borrowers. Defendant's conduct caused borrowers like Plaintiff's and other members of the California Subclass' credit ratings to drop without proper cause or justification, contrary to the protections the CARES Act and Fair Credit reporting Act provided them.
- 123. Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have been injured and damaged financially, suffering out of pocket loss of money, as a result of Defendant's violations.
- 124. As an actual and proximate result of Defendant's negligent conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have suffered and will continue to suffer harm and injury, including but not limited to damaged credit rating and interference with future credit transactions.
- 125. Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass have been injured by, among other things, the inability to complete desired credit transactions (including car and property) that they would have been able to but for the negative credit reports made by Defendant to third party credit bureaus impacting and lowering their credit ratings.
- 126. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and other members of the California Subclass are entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief, appropriate damages and restitution to the extent applicable, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. P. § 1021.5 and/or other law, and all other relief that may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated in the Class and California Subclass, as well as the general public, requests relief as follows on all counts to the maximum extent permissible by law:

COMPLAINT

İ A. Private injunctive relief including but not limited to, preventing Defendant from further 2 reporting delinquencies to credit bureaus for borrowers who agreed to Forbearance Agreement and are current under the CARES provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, requiring Defendant to take 3 corrective action and coordinate with applicable credit bureaus to rectify any negative credit references 4 5 made regarding protected borrowers, and requiring Defendant to notify all borrowers in forbearance 6 agreements that negative reports may have been improperly made to third party's affecting their credit 7 ratings; В. All other reasonable private injunctive relief; 8 9

- C. Public injunctive relief including but not limited to, prohibiting Defendant from entering into further Forbearance Agreements where they fail to comply with the CARES Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act and other law, and providing corrective notice to members of the general public;
 - D. All other reasonable public injunctive relief;

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- E. For a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction, restraining and enjoining Defendant from furnishing delinquent notices to credit bureaus on behalf of members of the Class who agreed to Defendant's Forbearance Agreement and are protected by the CARES provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act;
- F. Declaratory relief including a declaration that Plaintiff and all others similarly situated are current on their residential loans pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, CARES Act and/or other law and any deficiencies reported are invalid and improper and necessary to correct;
- G. An accounting of all class members' accounts to ensure negative credit reports have not been made during the period of forbearance;
 - H. The costs of correcting Plaintiff's and class members' credit reports;
- Ī. Monetary relief, including compensatory damages, restitution, punitive damages, nominal damages, statutory damages and/or applicable penalties to the extent available by law under each count;
- J. For class certification of both the Class and California Subclass, appointing Plaintiff as class representative and the undersigned counsel as class counsel;

Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the above laws, as well as Cal. Code l K. 2 Civ. P. § 1021.5; and All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the circumstances. L. 3 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 4 Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all claims so triable as authorized by law. 5 ZIMMERMAN REED, LLP 6 7 8 Dated: September 29, 2020 9 Caleb Marker (SBN 269721) Flinn Milligan (SBN 323042) 10 Arielle Canepa (SBN 329546) 2381 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 328 11 Manhattan Beach, CA 90245 (877) 500-8780 Telephone 12 (877) 500-8781 Facsimile 13 Email: caleb.marker@zimmreed.com flinn.milligan@zimmreed.com 14 arielle.canepa@zimmreed.com 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the putative class 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT

الله المحارية

EXHIBIT A

GL 6.62 06/25/20

Servicing

Pail of the Computershare Group

Date: 06/25/20

ERIC T MITCHELL

NURTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91601

RE: Loan Number: Property Address:

NORTH HOLLYWOOD

CA 9160

Dear ERIC T MITCHELL

We have extended your assistance plan in place.

The extended assistance plan offers an additional 3 month pause of your mortgage payments.

During the Forbearance Plan:

- You will not be required to make your mortgage payments. If you wish or are able, you have the
 option to pay any reduced amount
- · We will not assess late fees to your account
- During the forbearance period, we will report your account as current to the credit bureaus so there will
 not be any negative impact on your credit
- The account will not be referred to foreclosure

The terms of the plan are as follows:

Original Forbearance Plan begin date: 05/01/20

New Forbearance Plan end date: 10/01/20

Total number of months of the Forbearance Plan: 5

Monthly payment amount required during the Forbearance Plan: \$0.00

What is a Forbearance Plan?

A forbearance plan is an agreement that allows borrowers experiencing a temporary hardship to make a reduced mortgage payment or no mortgage payment at all during the plan's term. Under this forbearance plan, we have suspended your monthly mortgage payment obligation.

Next Steps

- Contact us immediately if your financial circumstances change during the term of your forbearance plan so that we may discuss potential options to resolve your situation.
- Prior to the end of the forbearance plan, we will contact you to discuss your situation and provide information on options that may be available to you. Options to resolve your situation may include a reinstatement, repayment plan, or loan modification.

The Forbearance Plan is based on an evaluation of an incomplete loss mitigation application, and other loss mitigation options may be available. You may submit a complete loss mitigation application to receive an evaluation for all loss mitigation options available, regardless of whether you accept this plan. You may submit a complete loss mitigation application now or closer to the end of the Forbearance Period (e.g., 30 days before the end of the Forbearance Period) when you may have a better understanding of your near-term and longer-term financial position, in order to be evaluated for all options that may be available to you at the time of the completed application. If you would like to be evaluated for other loss mitigation options, please complete and return the Mortgage Assistance Application. You should consider contacting servicers of any other mortgage loans secured by the same property to discuss available loss mitigation options they offer too. A copy of the Mortgage Assistance Application can be downloaded from our website at www.SL.S.netforms and can be returned to us at:

Mail: 8742 Lucent Blvd, Suite 300 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

8742 Lucent Blvd, Suite 300, Highlands Rench, CO 80129 www.SLS.net Specialized Loan Servicing LLC NMLS ID 2168

1425E0002.Reg.el.4.T0.3714pd-006929093622

GL 6.62 06/25/20

Fax: 1-877-875-0981 (Page limit per transmission is 25 pages)

Email: crdocs@sis.net (Size limit per email is 20MB)

Web: www.SLS.net

We are here to support you through this time. If you have any questions regarding the information in this letter, please send us a secure message through the Message Center by logging into your account at www.SLS.net or call Customer Assistance toll free at 1-800-315-4757, Monday through Friday, 6:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. MT. We accept calls from relay services on behalf of hearing impaired borrowers.

Sincerely, Customer Service

PLEASE SEE IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

8742 Lucent Blvd, Suite 300, Highlands Ranch, CO 80129 www.SLS.net Specialized Lean Servicing LLC NMLS ID 2168

1425E0022Reg.el.d.T0.371.gra000029/003623

		. CM-01
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Barn Caleb Marker (SBN 269721)	umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Zimmerman Reed LLP		
2381 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 328		
Малhattan Beach, CA 90245 телерноме мо.: 877-500-8780	FAX NO. (Optional): 877-500-8781	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Eric T. Mitchell	PACAO. (Optional). 077-000-0701	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY O	E LOS ANGELES	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N Hill Street	F LUS ANGELES	County of Eos Amgores
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N Hill Street		SEP 3 0 2020 ₁
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012		
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		Short R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
CASE NAME:		By: Kristina Vargas, Deputy
Mitchell v. Specialized Loan Servicing LLC		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	20STCV37533
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendar	t JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT.:
	low must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that	at best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical maipractice (45)	Real Property	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41) Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Correct begging (1901 sharpler) appine) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage a Large number of separately repres		r of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising of	•	with related actions pending in one or more
issues that will be time-consuming		er counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. Substantial amount of documentar		
	f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	x monetary b. x nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
	ss action suit.	014 045 l
If there are any known related cases, file ar Date: 09/29/2020	ie serve a notice of related case. (You mi	ay use form Civi-UT5.)
Caleb Marker	Š	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
District Control of the control of t	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fire Index the Brahate Code, Family Code, or Mr.		
in sanctions.	enare and institutions code). (Cal. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	sheet required by local court rule.	
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et se 	q. of the California Rules of Court, you m	ust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	740	
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	./4u or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2,30, 3,220, 3,400-3,403, 3,740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3,10 www.courts.ca.gov

. B >

SHORT TITLE: Mitchell v. Specialized Loan Servicing LLC

CASE NUMBER

20STCV37533 By Fax

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- Step 1: After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

Auto

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Getegory No.		B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Mo	otor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Pe	rsonal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11
Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asi	bestos Property Damage	1, 11
Asbestos (04)	☐ A7221 Asi	bestos - Personal injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Pro	oduct Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11
Madical Malanatics (45)	☐ A7210 Me	edical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	1, 4, 11
Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7240 Oth	her Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4, 11
Other Personal	☐ A7250 Pre	emises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1, 4, 11
injury Property Damage Wrongful		entional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., sault, vandalism, etc.)	1, 4, 11
Death (23)	☐ A7270 Inte	entional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1, 4, 11
	☐ A7220 Oth	her Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11

LASC CIV 109 Rev. 12/18 For Mandatory Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 1 of 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 09/30/2020 Sherri R. Caner, Executive Officer / Quit of Court By: K. Vargas Deputy		
UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE			
	CASE NUMBER:		
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	20STCV37533		

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
✓ Yvette M. Palazuelos	9				

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court · on 10/01/2020 By K. Vargas ______, Deputy Clerk (Date)

LACIV 190 (Rev 6/18) LASC Approved 05/06

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

LACIV 190 (Rev 6/18) LASC Approved 05/06 NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

OCT 1 5 2020 🚓 💸