### 20STCV49673

Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Daniel Crowley

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 12/30/2020 02:06 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by M. Barel, Deputy Clerk
PLD-PI-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Joel Krissman, Esq SBN 57531	
KRISSMAN & SILVER LLP 444 W. Ocean Boulevard, Suite #940	
Long Beach, California 90802	
TELEPHONE NO: (562) 548-7515 FAX NO. (Optional): (562) 912-2657	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): jk@krissmansilver.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs MATILDE EK, et al.	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	8
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California 90012	
BRANCH NAME: Central Judicial District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF:MATILDE EK, Individually and as successor in interest to ARTURO EK; KARLA EK-ELHADIDY; LUCILA del CARMEN EK; and MARIA EK-EWELL	
DEFENDANT:	
SEE'S CANDIES, INC.; SEE'S CANDY SHOPS, INCORPORATED; AND	
✓ DOES 1 TO 20, INCLUSIVE	
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number):	
Type (check all that apply):  MOTOR VEHICLE  TOTHER (specify):  General Negligence; Premises Liability	
MOTOR VEHICLE X OTHER (specify). General Negligence; Premises Liability Property Damage V Wrongful Death Survival action brought	
Personal Injury  Other Damages (specify): by successor in interest	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply): to Arturo Ek, Deceased	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	20STCV49673
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	· - · · ·
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000  ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)  ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
Plaintiff (name or names): MATILDE EK, Individually and as successor in interest to ART	URO EK; KARLA EK-ELHADIDY; LUCILA o
CARMEN EK; and MARIA EK-EWELL alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names):	
SEE'S CANDIES, INC.; SEE'S CANDY SHOPS, INCORPORATED; A	ND DOES 1 TO 20, INCLUSIVE
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page	
Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	
a. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe): (4) a minor an adult	
(4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	dian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	T ( 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 19
(5) other (specify):	
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian	dian ad litem has been appointed
<ul><li>(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian.</li><li>(b) other (specify):</li></ul>	didir da itterri rido been appointed
(5) other (specify):	
(b) other (speediff).	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	achment 3. Page 1 of 3

Г	SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
	MATILDE EK, et al. v. SEE'S CANDIES, INC., et al.	
4	4. Plaintiff (name):	
	is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):	
5	(1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (2) a corporation (2) a corporation	ndant (name): business organization, form unknown corporation unincorporated entity (describe):
	(4) a public entity (describe):	public entity (describe):
	(5) other (specify):	ner (specify):
	(1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): (4) a public entity (describe): (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (2) a corporation (3) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (4) a corporation (5) a corporation (6) a corporation (7) a corporation (7) a corporation (8) a corporation (8) a corporation (9) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a corporation (1) a	ndant (name): pusiness organization, form unknown corporation unincorporated entity (describe): public entity (describe): ner (specify):
	Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained	d in Attachment 5.
6	named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employme b.   Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 TO 20, INCLUSIVE are	ere the agents or employees of other nt. e persons whose capacities are unknown to
	plaintiff.  7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (name	nes):
	<ul> <li>8. This court is the proper court because</li> <li>a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.</li> <li>b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated</li> <li>c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional</li> <li>d. other (specify):</li> </ul>	l association is in its jurisdictional area.
•	<ul> <li>9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and</li> <li>a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or</li> <li>b. is excused from complying because (specify):</li> </ul>	

SHORT TITLE:  MATILDE EK, et al. v. SEE'S CANDIES, INC., et al.	CASE NUMBER:	
10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (a causes of action attached):  a.	each complaint must have or	ne or more
<ul> <li>11. Plaintiff has suffered</li> <li>a wage loss</li> <li>b loss of use of property</li> <li>c hospital and medical expenses</li> <li>d general damage</li> <li>e property damage</li> <li>f loss of earning capacity</li> <li>g other damage (specify):</li> <li>Matilde Ek, Individually, and the other Plaintiffs seek all recoverable damages for Decedent, Arturo Ek, including loss of love, care, comfort and society. Matilde Extruro Ek, Deceased, also seeks economic losses for medical and care costs for the survived after being infected with Covid-19, on or about 3/22/20, until his death to</li> </ul>	Ek, as successor in interest to ne period of time Arturo Ek	
<ul> <li>12.  The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the a.  listed in Attachment 12.</li> <li>b.  as follows:  Plaintiffs Individually, are the surviving heirs, the wife and throughout husband and father, Arturo Ek. In the survival action, Matilde Decedent, Arturo Ek. Please see Attachment "A" hereto.</li> </ul>	ee daughters, of the dec	edent est to
13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.		
<ul> <li>Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable a.</li> <li>(1)  compensatory damages</li> <li>(2) punitive damages</li> <li>The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must according to proof</li> <li>(2) in the amount of: \$</li> </ul>		
The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows GN-1.; Prem.L-1.; Prem.L-2.; Prem.L-5.	s (specify paragraph number	s):
Date: December 18, 2020	el Krisam	Lag
Joel Krissman, Esq. (SIG	GNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY	)

PLD-PI-001(2) CASE NUMBER: SHORT TITLE: MATILDE EK, et al. v. SEE'S CANDIES, INC., et al. **CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence** 4 Page (number) ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) MATILDE EK, Individually and as successor in interest to ARTURO EK; GN-1. Plaintiff (name): KARLA EK-ELHADIDY; LUCILA del CARMEN EK; and MARIA EK-EWELL alleges that defendant (name): SEE'S CANDIES, INC.; SEE'S CANDY SHOPS, INCORPORATED; AND 20, INCLUSIVE ✓ Does 1 to was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant negligently caused the damage to plaintiff on (date): or about 3/1/20 - 4/20/20 at (place): See's Candies located at 20600 S. Alameda Street, Carson, CA 90810

(description of reasons for liability):

Defendants operated a candy assembly and packing line and employed workers in the course and scope of said business, including Plaintiff Matilde Ek. During said time there was a global, national, state and County of Los Angeles pandemic and epidemic, Sars-Cov-2 coronavirus, commonly referred to as Covid-19. Defendants were aware of the highly dangerous, contagious and transmissible nature of that virus, particularly where people are working and interacting in close proximity to each other. Further, Defendants' employees at the plant complained directly and through their union representative to Defendants about the close proximity of their work environment requesting safety mitigation efforts due to fear of the virus. Defendants failed to operate and conduct their business as would and should be expected to protect their employees, including Plaintiff, from the known high risk of this viral infection by failing to put known, appropriate and necessary safety mitigation measures in place. Defendants knew and should have known that the workers' duties, locations within the plant, and physical distancing from one another, created a foreseeable and high risk of viral infection and transmission among the workers, including Plaintiff. Defendants knew and should have known that their failure to take appropriate and necessary safety mitigation measures would increase the known and foreseeable risk that their workers, like Plaintiff, would become infected in the course and scope of their work for Defendants, and carry said viral infection home infecting one or more of their family members

On or about 3/1/20-3/19/20, Plaintiff Matilde Ek was working without appropriate and necessary social distancing on the packing line, using restrooms and break-rooms at times inches and only a few feet from other workers, some of whom were coughing, sneezing, and became infected along with other co-workers with Covid-19. Plaintiff Matilde Ek, unable to work then convalesced at her home where she resided with her husband, Decedent Arturo Ek, and one of their daughters, Plaintiff Karla Ek-Elhadidy, who provided care for her. Within a few days, on or about 3/22/20 both husband and Decedent Arturo Ek, and daughter Karla Ek-Elhadidy, became sick with Covid-19. Decedent Arturo Ek, after struggling with the illness, died as a proximate and legal cause therefrom, on 4/20/20.

			PLD-PI-001(4)
SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:	
MATILDE EK, et al	l. v. SEE'S CANDIES, INC., et al.		
SECOND (numbe	CAUSE OF ACTION—Prem	ises Liability	Page5
ATTACHMENT TO	✓ Complaint Cross - Complaint		
(Use a separate ca	use of action form for each cause of action.)		
Prem.L-1. Plaintiff ( allege: On <i>(da</i>	MATILDE EK, Individually and as successor in into (name): KARLA EK-ELHADIDY; LUCILA del CARMEN as the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause (nte): or about 3/1/20-4/20/20 plaintiff was in	erest to ARTURO EK; EK; and MARIA EK-EW of damages to plaintiff.  njured on the following pr	ELL emises in the following
The acts and omissions of Defenda damages to Plaintiffs, Individually created, including the foreseeable operate, manage and control their course and scope of said business, Cov-2 coronavirus, commonly refe people are working and interacting Defendants about the close proxim business as would and should be e	In (description of premises and circumstances of injury): ants occurred at the See's Candies packing facilities located at 20600, and to Plaintiff Matilde Ek, as successor in interest to Arturo Ek, Dand unreasonable risk of spreading a dangerous viral infection to fan business using reasonable care occurred as follows: Defendants operincluding Plaintiff Matilde Ek. During said time there was a global, erred to as Covid-19. Defendants were aware of the highly dangerous in close proximity to each other. Further, Defendants' employees at atty of their work environment requesting safety mitigation efforts duxpected to protect their employees, including Plaintiff, from the known Count One—Negligence The defendants who negliger operated the described premises were (names):  SEE'S CANDIES, INC.; SEE'S CANDY SI	Deceased, the wrongful death of mily members of Defendants' or rated a candy assembly and p national, state and County of s, contagious and transmissib to the plant complained directly use to fear of the virus. Defend wn high risk of this viral infectively owned, maintained, not the property of the pr	of decedent Arturo Ek, occurred and were employees as a result Defendants' failure to acking line and employed workers in the Los Angeles pandemic and epidemic, Sars le nature of that virus, particularly where wand through their union representative to ants failed to operate and conduct their ction by failing to put known, (*CONT. managed and BELOW*)
Prem.L-3.	To Does 1 to 20, INCLUSIVE  Count Two—Willful Failure to Warn [Civil Code section or maliciously failed to guard or warn against a dangero (names):	on 846] The defendant ov ous condition, use, structu	vners who willfully ire, or activity were
Prem.L-4.	Does to Plaintiff, a recreational user, was an invited gue Count Three—Dangerous Condition of Public Prope on which a dangerous condition existed were (names):	8 25 5 5	
Prem.L-5. a. ✓	other defendants and acted within the scope of the age SEE'S CANDIES, INC.; SEE'S CANDY S	the injury to have corrected to defend the hard public entity. Who were the agents and not were (names):	d employees of the
e another, created a foreseeable and high r e appropriate and necessary safety mitiga	The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reast described in attachment Prem.L-5.b as for measures in place. Defendants knew and should have known that the isk of viral infection and transmission among the workers, including tion measures would increase the known and foreseeable risk that the viral infection home infecting one or more of their family members.	bllows (names): workers' duties, locations wi Plaintiff. Defendants knew a eir workers, like Plaintiff, wo	thin the plant, and physical distancing fron nd should have known that their failure to

Page 1 of 1

# **EXHIBIT A**

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	MATILDE EK, et al.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	SEE'S CANDIES, INC., et al.	

#### DECLARATION

(This form must be attached to another form or court paper before it can be filed in court.)

## DECLARATION OF MATILDE EK

I	Matilde	Fk	hereby	declare	and	state	a fol	lower
1,	Matinde	LIK,	nercoy	deciare	and	State	a IUI	IUWS.

- 1. I am, and at all times herein mentioned have been, a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 2. I am 70 years old, and the facts contained herein are personally known to me.
- 3. I was married to Arturo Ek. My husband, the decedent Arturo Ek, died on April 20, 2020, at Los Angeles, California. Attached hereto is a certified copy of my husband's death certificate.
- 4. No proceeding is now pending in California for administration of the decedent's estate.
- 5. The decedent's estate has not been administered.
- 6. I am decedent's successor in interest (as defined in Section 377.11 of the California Code of Civil Procedure) and I succeed to the decedent's interest in the action or proceeding.
- 7. No other person has a superior right to commence the action or proceeding or to be substituted for the decedent in the pending action or proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ca	alifornia that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date: December 15, 2020	
MATILDE EK (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	Malife 2K (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
ń	☐ Attorney for ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Petitioner ☐ Defendant ☐ Respondent ☑ Other (Specify): Plaintiff/Successor In Interest

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

	305202008	ALL STREET		100	CER	STATE OF CAL	OF DE	ATH RAJEMIONS		320201				
	STATE FILE NUMBER  1. NAME OF DECEDENT- FIRST (GIVEN)  ARTURO				USE RUCK INCOME Y NOT PROMPTS OF A TUATIONS  2. MICHOLE  -  LAST (Family)  EK					LOCAL REGIST	HALLON	UMBEH		
NAL DATA	AKA. ALSO KNOWN AS - Include -		ST, MEIDLE, LAST)				02/29		72 SAGE Vrs.	FUNCER ONE YEAR Months Days	Hos		BS 6. SEX	
SPERSO	9. BIRTH STATE/FOREIGN COUN MEXICO	5	47-23-928			ES X NO		MARRIE	D Tire of Deat	7. DATE OF DEATH 04/20/202			1850	
DECEDENT'S PERSONAL	13, EDUCATION - Highest Level/Diegre (see worksheef on back)  OO  17. USUAL OCCUPATION - Type	X YES	MEXICAN				D NO N	MAYAN	RACE - Up to 3 races					
٥	WAITER			JSC RETIFELI		RESTAU		AUSTRY (e.g., gr	Dobry store, road constru	cach, employment ap	ency, etc.)	- C-10.0	AS IN OCCUPATION	
RESIDENCE	24. DECEDENT'S RESIDENCE (S 1428 LUCILE AVI			COUNTY/PROV	estante.		1 23 7 9 0	1.66	24. YEARS IN COLI	NTY 25 STATE FO				
	LOS ANGELES		The second second	OS ANG	2000	any in	9002	6	47	CA		JUNIAY		
MANT	SE INFORMANTS NAME, RELA KARLA EK, DAUG	SHTER				1428	LUCILI		OS ANGEL	ES, CA 900	26	eps bne ete		
MATTON	28. NAME OF SURVIVING SPOU MATILDE	SE/SADP*-FI	RST	29. MODL				NAVA	RRETE					
E/SRDP AND INFORMATION	31. NAME OF FATHER/PARENT- JUAN	FAST	Section 1	DE D	TO SHE WAS			BK BAST					EXICO	
SPOUSE/SRDP AND PARENT INFORMATIO	35. NAME OF MOTHER/PARENT	FIRST		36. MIDOL	SELECTION OF			37. LAST (B)	RTH NAME)			38.	EXICO	
	39. DISPOSITION DATE imm/dd/c	2)y 40.P	LACE OF FINAL DIS	POSITION OF	KDAL	E MEMO	RIAL P	ARK				1,,,,	ZXIOO	
LOCAL REGISTRAR	04/29/2020 1401 S. GRAND A 41. TYPE OF DISPOSITION(S) BU				42, 5/0/	NATURE OF EMBA	ALMER	40			43 LICENSE NUMBER			
LOCAL	44. NAME OF FUNERAL ESTABLISHMENT 45. U				FD1	CENSE NUMBER 46 SIGNATURE OF LOCAL REGISTRAR					500 04/24/2020			
4	101. PLACE OF DEATH 102 IF HOSPITAL, SPECIFY ONE 103 IF OT							F OTHER THAN HOS		ECIFY ONE	ukrta 🗀 .			
PLACE OF	TOA COUNTY LOS ANGELES	1 10	67 SUNSI		N WHERE	FOUND (Street or				106. CITY				
	107. CAUSE OF DEATH  Enter the chain of events — diseases, munes, or compositions — that deathly caused death, DO NOT when termine events such as cardisc ownel, recountry event, or venerable Rehistor when a thours of the elocopy, DO NOT ADEREVATE.  AMAIENTATE CAUSE. On ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME conditions and the elocopy of							Time internal Orest and (AT) DAYS	Between 1	YES XX				
E	in death)  Sequentially let conditions, it any, sading to cause  Sequentially let Sequentia							WKS		VES	-			
CAUSE OF DEATH	on the Author CAUS (decay or Hely but Author CAUS (decay or He							WKS on		YES	- Learnery			
CAU	112 OTHER SIZERE MELLITUS TYPE II, OBESTY  DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE II, OBESTY									YES	□ NK			
	DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE II, OBESTTY  113 WAS OPERATION PERFORMED FOR ANY CONCITION IN THEM 107 OR 1127 (if yes, list type of operation and date)  NONE											NO UN		
CERTIFICATION	114 LOSPERYTHAT TO THE SEST OF ATTHEHOUR DATE AND PLACE SIX Deceler's Attended Since (A) menydddesgy	Decede	CALESSIVED IN Last Seen Alva	LAMCH	AEI B	RONSTE	IN M D	OOPESS, ZIP C	WE MICHAEL	G686	15	04/2	TE mm/dd/ccyy 3/2020	
CER	119 CERTIFY THE NAMO THE NORTH HE HAD READ REPORTED THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER											200	22. HOUR (\$4 Ho)	
CORONER'S USE ONLY	MANNER OF DEATH Not. 123. PLAGE OF INJURY (e.g., In 124. DESCRIBE HOW INJURY O	CCURRED (E	vents which resulted	ta, etc.) I in injury)	· A	rung vestgaton	Gould not be obtained		s [ w [ i	JAK				
CORO	126. LOCATION OF INJURY (SI/			ity, and zipi		127. DATE m		I was	JAME, TITLE OF CORD	EQ / DEBI IV ACC	MEP			
	29. SUNATURE OF CORONER	, DEPUTY C	JALINEA			127. DATE M	-v arace)A	128 TYPE	PARE, THILE OF GOAD					
STA	ATE A B		C	0 8		(B)			AUDITURE.	FAX AUTH	,		CENSUS TRAC	

CERTIFIED COPY OF VITAL RECORD STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

This is a true certified copy of the record filed in the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health if it bears the Registrar's signature in purple ink.



MD DATE ISSUED Health Officer and Registra 12

APR 30 2020

